HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.14500 OF 2006

Between:

Salambin Mohmed Bazaave, S/o Mohmed Bazaave, H.No. 1-10-55, SS Gutta, Mahaboobnagar-509001 AP.

…Petitioner

AND

1.The Station House Officer, Rural Police Station, Mahaboobnagar, District and others.

….Respondents

_
_
_

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.14500 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.VARMA)**

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, appearing on behalf of the learned Advocate General.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of 'Fax Message', dated 27.6.2006, sent by one Salambin Mohmed Bazaave, S/o. Mohmed Bazaave, H.No.1-10-55, S.S.Gutta, Mahaboobnagar-509001, A.P., alleging (i) that the minor daughter of the petitioner viz., Salam Begum, aged 17 years, who is working as labour in Balaji special Mixture, GBK Reddy Colony, Mahaboobnagar, was abducted by one Mr. Madugula Gopal, aged 38 years, working as cooker in the same Company (ii) inaction of the police in spite of registering a case in Crime No.184/2006 on the complaint, dated 30.5.2006, (iii) threatening the petitioner by the said M. Gopal to kill her if the matter is reported to the police and (iv) apprehending danger to the life of petitioner's daughter in the hands of the kidnapper.

3. On notice being served, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Mohabubnagar Rural Police Station, Mahabubnagar District, filed a counter-affidavit, *inter alia*, stating that one Madugula Gopal forcibly abducted the daughter of the complainant by name Salma Begum and, during the investigation, the girl was traced and a case in Crime No.184 of 2006 for the offence punishable under Section 363 IPC was registered against the said Mdugula Gopal.

4. Upon the directions of this Court, the girl by name Salma Begum, who allegedly abducted, is produced before this Court along with her father. Upon questioning, the victim girl stated that she is in

safe custody of his father, who is none other than the petitioner.

5. In view of the said fact, we do not find any reason to interfere with the matter.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. No order as to costs.

_____
D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____
P. SWAROOP REDDY, J

Dated: 14.08.2006
KA